

Impact of Migration on Economic and Social Development

A Review of Evidence and Emerging Issues

Dilip Ratha
Sanket Mohapatra
Elina Scheja

The World Bank
Development Prospects Group
Migration and Remittances Unit
&
Poverty Reduction and Economic Management Network
February 2011



Abstract

This paper provides a review of the literature on the development impact of migration and remittances on origin countries and on destination countries in the South. International migration is an ever-growing phenomenon that has important development implications for both sending and receiving countries. For a sending country, migration and the resulting remittances lead to increased incomes and poverty reduction, and improved health and educational outcomes, and promote economic development. Yet these gains might come at substantial social costs to the migrants and their families. Since many developing countries are also large recipients of international migrants, they face challenges of integration of immigrants, job competition between

migrant and native workers, and fiscal costs associated with provision of social services to the migrants. This paper also summarizes incipient discussions on the impacts of migration on climate change, democratic values, demographics, national identity, and security. In conclusion, the paper highlights a few policy recommendations calling for better integration of migration in development policies in the South and the North, improving data collection on migration and remittance flows, leveraging remittances for improving access to finance of recipient households and countries, improving recruitment mechanisms, and facilitating international labor mobility through safe and legal channels.

This paper is a joint product of the Migration and Remittances Unit of the Development Prospects Group, Development Economics Vice Presidency; and Poverty Reduction and Economic Management Network. It is part of a larger effort by the World Bank to provide open access to its research and make a contribution to development policy discussions around the world. Policy Research Working Papers are also posted on the Web at <http://econ.worldbank.org>. The authors can be contacted at dratha@worldbank.org, smohapatra2@worldbank.org, and escheja@worldbank.org.

The Policy Research Working Paper Series disseminates the findings of work in progress to encourage the exchange of ideas about development issues. An objective of the series is to get the findings out quickly, even if the presentations are less than fully polished. The papers carry the names of the authors and should be cited accordingly. The findings, interpretations, and conclusions expressed in this paper are entirely those of the authors. They do not necessarily represent the views of the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development/World Bank and its affiliated organizations, or those of the Executive Directors of the World Bank or the governments they represent.

Impact of Migration on Economic and Social Development: A Review of Evidence and Emerging Issues

Dilip Ratha, Sanket Mohapatra and Elina Scheja*

Keywords: International migration, Remittances; Development; Social welfare, Poverty reduction, Fiscal impacts, Integration, Climate change

* This paper—a product of the joint Migration and Remittances Unit of the Development Prospects Group, Development Economics Vice Presidency (DEC) and Poverty Reduction and Economic Management Network (PREM)—was a background paper for Roundtable 3 on “Migration and Development: Tools and Evidence for Policy and Institutional Coherence” of the Civil Society Days of the *Global Forum on Migration and Development 2010* held on November 8-11, 2010, at Puerto Vallarta, Mexico. We would like to thank Hans Timmer for extensive discussions and William Shaw for helpful comments on an earlier draft. Comments are welcome, and may be sent to dratha@worldbank.org. The findings, interpretations and conclusions expressed in this paper are entirely those of the authors. They do not necessarily represent the view of the World Bank.

Impact of Migration on Economic and Social Development: A Review of Evidence and Emerging Issues

1. Introduction

This paper provides a review of the literature on the development impact of migration and remittances on origin countries and on destination countries in the South. International migration has development implications for origin and destination countries in the South and in the North. Some 215 million people or 3 percent of the world's population are believed to live outside their countries of birth (United Nations 2009). While the focus in the literature has been on South-North migration, the number of migrants between developing countries is estimated to be as large as the number of migrants moving from South to North (Ratha & Shaw 2007). Thus, the development implications of migration and the need to manage in-migration are as relevant to the South as they are to the North. Although violent conflict, political persecution, and trafficking are important causes for international mobility, more than 9 out of 10 international migrants move for economic reasons. By and large, migration has positive economic impacts on the migrant household, the sending country as well as the receiving country.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 provides a discussion of the development implications, first economic and then social impacts, of migration for origin countries. Section 3 discusses the available evidence on the impact of migration on destination countries in the South. Section 4 concludes with a brief discussion of selected emerging migration issues such as environment and climate change, fertility and demographic patterns, democratic processes, and national security, and some policy recommendations for enhancing the impact of migration on economic and social development.¹

¹ While some of the topics are new in relation to migration, some have been widely discussed by practitioners in this context. However, the academic literature is still largely underdeveloped in these areas making them 'emerging topics' in relation to available migration research.

2. Development implications of migration for the origin countries

Migration is a decision that impacts the welfare of the household, the home community, and in the end the whole economy in various ways (Azam and Gubert 2006). The welfare implications of migration on the origin country are most often, though not always, sizable and positive. The main channels through which migration alleviates poverty are increased incomes from remittances, ability to smooth consumption, access to finance for starting a new business, as well as tapping on to the knowledge and resources provided by the international community of the migrant diaspora. Besides pure monetary gains, migration and remittances allow for higher investment in health care and education. Yet, not all impacts are positive: exploitation of migrants by unscrupulous recruiters or employers is reportedly widespread; separation from family can be stressful for migrants; and large scale immigration can pose serious challenges to a nation's identity and sovereignty.

2.1 Economic implications for the country of origin

While migration has economic, social, and cultural implications for the sending and host societies, remittances the migrants send home are perhaps the most tangible and least controversial link between migration and development (Ratha 2007). According to the official estimates, migrants from developing countries sent over \$315 billion to their origin countries in 2009, three times the size of official development assistance (Ratha *et al.* 2010). The true size of remittances including unrecorded flows through formal and informal channels is likely to be even higher. While remittances to developing countries declined modestly in 2009 because of the global financial crisis, these flows have remained resilient compared to private capital flows, and have become even more important as a source of external financing in many developing countries.

Migration and remittances have both direct and indirect effects on the welfare of the population in the migrant sending countries.² A cross-country study of 71 developing countries found that a 10 percent increase in per capita official international remittances will lead to 3.5 percent decline in the share of people living in poverty (Adams & Page

²See World Bank (2005), UNDP (2009), Skeldon (2002), Kothari (2002), and De Haas (2005).

2005). Evidence from Latin America, Africa, South Asia and other regions suggests that **remittances reduce the depth and severity of poverty**, as well as indirectly stimulate economic activity (Adams 1991, Lachaud 1999, Fajnzylber & Lopez 2007, Adams 2006b, Gupta *et al.* 2007, Anyanwu and Erhijakpor 2010, Ajayi *et al.* 2009). The dramatic increase in remittances was responsible for one third to one half of the overall reduction in headcount poverty rate in Nepal from 42 percent in 1995-96 to 31 percent in 2003-04 (World Bank 2006a).

Remittances have been found to have an income stabilizing effect at both the macroeconomic level (World Bank 2006b, Chami *et al.* 2009) and at the household level. Historically, remittances have tended to rise in times of economic downturns, financial crises, and natural disasters because migrants living abroad send more money to help their families back home (World Bank 2006b, Yang 2006, Yang and Choi 2007, Mohapatra *et al.* 2010). In Ghana, remittances were found to help households to minimize the effects of economic shocks on household welfare (Quartey 2006).³ Remittance-receiving households in Ethiopia used their cash reserves and thus avoided having to sell their livestock to cope with drought (Mohapatra *et al.* 2009). Recent evidence from Mali confirms that a substantial part of remittances is saved for unexpected events and the migrant thus serves as an insurer for the whole household (Ponsot & Obegi 2010).

Cross-country analysis indicates that remittances are related to greater income inequality in Africa (Anyanwu & Erhijakpor 2010) and Latin America (Barham & Boucher 1998). However, other studies suggest that migration enhances the welfare of the rural poor disproportionately, for example, in Mexico (Stark and Taylor 1980), in rural Egypt (Adams 1991) and in other regions (Portes 2009).⁴ Migration may also raise inequality initially, as only the relatively well-off have the resources to send workers

³ The consumption smoothing effect of remittances allows households to engage in high-risk but possibly more profitable economic activities that have a poverty-reducing effect, but that in absence of migration would have been difficult. See Morduch (1994) for discussion on stochastic poverty causing chronic poverty in absence of credit markets.

⁴ The impact of remittances on inequality depends on the initial position of the recipients in the income distribution: in Mexico 61 percent of the remittance receiving households fall in the first quintile of non-remittance income, whereas in Peru fewer than 6 percent of the remittance-receivers belong to the lowest quintile (Fajnzylber & Lopez 2007, p. 6).

abroad and therefore receive remittances (Lipton 1980). However, as migrant networks are established in the destination countries, the cost of migration falls so that the less well-off can afford to migrate (Taylor *et al.* 2005; Koechlin & Leon 2007, Docquier *et al.* 2010a).

Migrant remittances increase domestic savings as well as improve financial intermediation (Aggrawal *et al.* 2006, Toxopeus and Lensink 2007),⁵ which can improve growth prospects (Giuliano and Ruiz-Arranz 2005). Evidence from Philippines, Mexico and other countries suggests that remittances increase the accumulation of assets in farm equipment, promote self-employment and increase small business investments in migrant-sending areas (Taylor 1992 Taylor, Wyatt 1996, Lucas 1987, Adams 2006a, Woodruff & Zenteno 2001, and Yang 2008).

Factoring the remittance inflows correctly into macroeconomic analysis is also likely to improve the credit rating and external debt-sustainability of the remittance-receiving country (Abdih *et al.* 2009, Avendano *et al.* 2009, IMF 2010, Ratha *et al.* 2010). Because they are a large and stable source of foreign currency, remittances are likely to curtail investor panic and prevent sudden current account reversals during a crisis (Bugamelli & Paterno 2006, Gupta *et al.* 2007). Furthermore, future flows of remittances can be used as collateral by governments and private sector entities in developing countries to raise financing in international capital market (Ketkar and Ratha 2005, 2009). These innovative financing mechanisms can be used to raise funds for development projects such as low-income housing, or water supply.

The diaspora serves as a link between the sending and receiving communities, expands the opportunities to access international financing, and facilitates networking. The diaspora also contribute through philanthropic remittances (Goldring 2004), and the development of their former communities through hometown associations and collective financing of development projects such as schools, health facilities and community infrastructure such as the “Tres Por Uno” program in Mexico (Ghosh 2006;

⁵ In some cases migration is a response to failures in the market for insurance and credit, so that emigration of one household member works as a second best solution to relax the credit constraint of the household (Taylor 1992).

Orozco 2009, World Bank 2006b, UNDP 2009). Access to information through the diaspora and the skills learned by returning migrants can improve technology¹, management and institutions in the sending country, and lower the fixed cost and knowledge requirements for setting up an international business (Carling 2005). Emigrants may also be an important supply of foreign investment, as their knowledge of their home country institutions (and perhaps a greater ability to maneuver within the home country regulatory framework) may mean that they incur lower investment costs and/or higher returns, compared to other international investors.

In the current environment of a credit crunch after the financial crisis several countries have started looking at the diaspora abroad as potential sources of capital (Ketkar and Ratha 2010). In the past, Israel and India have raised over \$35 billion of development financing by issuing diaspora bonds (Ketkar and Ratha 2009). For the countries, diaspora bonds represent a stable and cheap source of external finance, especially in times of financial stress. Diaspora bonds have several advantages, both for the issuer and for the emigrant who buys the bond: Through retailing at small denominations, issuers can tap into the wealth of relatively poor migrants, although diaspora bonds are not necessarily limited to migrants. Migrants are expected to be more loyal than the average investors in times of distress. And they might be especially interested in financing infrastructure, housing, health and education projects.⁶

Migration contributes to human capital formation. There is a growing body of evidence suggesting that the income from remittances is disproportionally spent on education and health rather than everyday consumption (Adams 2005, Adams *et al.* 2008, World Bank 2006b, p. 126, Valero-Gil 2008; see Nagarajan 2009 for South Africa). Once in school, the children of migrants may be more likely to finish their education, as the increased income from remittances provide additional financial resources and better prospects associated with migration influence social norms and incentives towards

⁶ Ratha et al. (2008) estimate that Sub-Saharan African countries can potentially raise \$5-10 billion per year by issuing diaspora bonds. Countries that can potentially consider diaspora bonds are Bangladesh, Colombia, El Salvador, Ghana, India, Jamaica, Kenya, Mexico, Morocco, Nepal, Nigeria, Pakistan, Philippines, Romania, Senegal, South Africa, Sri Lanka, Uganda, Zambia, and Zimbabwe (and also Greece, Ireland, Italy, South Korea and Spain). In September 2010 Greece announced a plan to issue diaspora bond to raise financing for debt servicing.

gaining more education (Cox-Edwards and Ureta 2003 for El Salvador, Yang 2008 for Philippines, UNDP 2009).⁷ Evidence from rural Pakistan suggests that temporary migration is associated with higher school enrollment, especially for girls (Mansuri 2006). Furthermore, migration has been observed to increase health knowledge in addition to the direct effect on wealth, which has led to lower rates of infant mortality and higher birth weights in Mexico (Hildebrandt & McKenzie 2005). Visiting and returning migrants may also bring back health-improving practices such as drinking safe water and better sanitation (UNDP 2009, p. 79).

On the other hand, migration as such might also present a threat to migrant health as certain jobs expose migrants to occupational hazards, such as tuberculosis, pneumoconiosis and workplace injury by mine workers (Kahn *et al.* 2003). Increased mobility of workers has also contributed to a rapid spread of communicable diseases such as HIV (Decosas *et al.* 1995; Lurie 2000; Lurie *et al.* 2000; Brummer 2002). For instance, Kane *et al.* (1993) find that 27 percent of the male Senegalese migrants were HIV positive compared to 1 percent for non-migrants males from the same area. Sexually transmitted infections are also more likely to spread among migrants themselves as well as their permanent partners residing in the sending communities (Kahn *et al.* 2003).

High-skilled emigration or the so-called “brain drain” can imply a loss of public resources invested in their education, can reduce the sending country’s productive capacity, and can worsen the business environment, especially in small economies. The emigration of the highly skilled can be particularly important in the education and health sectors in small countries that face severe shortages of health workers (Docquier *et al.* 2010b).⁸ Moreover, the departure of doctors may result in underemployment of nurses and other auxiliary staff (Commander *et al.* 2004). However, as argued by Dustmann *et al.* (2010), return migration can lead to mitigation of the brain drain, if not a net “brain gain”. When the migrants return, they have usually acquired skills that are needed in the sending community as manifested by a sizable wage-premium paid to the returned migrants (Wahba 2007). Furthermore, Mountford (1997)

⁷ Contradicting evidence has been found in Mexico (McKenzie & Rapoport 2009).

⁸ For example, the vacancy rate in Ghana’s public sector health positions was 47 percent for doctors and 57 percent for nurses in 2002 (Dovlo 2003).

and Stark (2004) suggest that the possibility of emigrating abroad increases the interest in and returns to higher education, which can increase the total number of highly skilled also in the home country (World Bank 2006b, p. 68). Despite concerns about the detrimental effects of brain drain on health, the shortage of health professionals in Africa is likely to stem from causes entirely unrelated to international migration (Clemens 2007) such as fragmented labor markets and insufficient public financing (Lucas 2006).⁹

Evidence on the relationship between remittance inflows and economic growth in migrant-sending countries remains inconclusive.¹⁰ Empirical studies have found little evidence in support of a positive impact of remittances on economic growth (IMF 2005, World Bank 2006b; Spatafora 2005; Barajas et al. 2009; Singh *et al.* 2009). In general, studies focusing on the labor supply response of the remittance-recipient households tend to find that remittances lower work efforts and hence reduce long-term growth (Azam & Gubert 2006; Chami *et al.* 2003). Other studies find that remittances improve financial access and financial development and therefore stimulate growth (Toxopeus & Lensik 2007, Giuliano & Ruiz-Arranz 2005, Gupta *et al.* 2007). Furthermore, the merit of remittance flows might lie more on increasing the level of income for the poor rather than the growth of the economy as a whole (Jongwanich 2007). Empirical evidence from Latin America and Cape Verde suggests that remittances can lead to exchange rate appreciation, which can reduce the competitiveness of the tradable sector, the so-called *Dutch Disease* (Bourdet & Falck 2006, Fajnzylber & Lopez 2007, Gupta *et al.* 2007). However, remittances are less likely than natural resource windfalls to result in persistent exchange rate misalignment, while the exchange rate implications of relatively stable remittance flows are likely to be easier to manage than a comparatively abrupt shock due to a natural resource windfall (Ratha 2003, Rajan and

⁹ On the other hand, temporary migration in order to access medical services (see the case of Hong Kong nationals in Canada discussed in Preston *et al.* 2007) and the ever-growing phenomenon of medical tourism from North to South increases the demand for health care services in the destination countries. Experts estimate that medical tourists will bring \$2.2 billion annually to India alone by 2012 (Hutchinson 2005). Increased demand by wealthy tourists has increased the number of medical providers also in Argentina, Costa Rica, Cuba, Jamaica, South Africa, Jordan, Malaysia, Hungary, Latvia and Estonia. This might spill over even to the domestic population through better access to health care, but the net effects of this medical 'brain gain' have still not been researched.

¹⁰ Note that the gains from migration analysis discussed in box 1 shows that the relationship between in-migration and economic growth in the migrant-receiving country is positive.

Subramanian 2005, IMF 2005). In general, the inconclusive results on the impact of remittances and growth are largely due to the difficulty of separating the cause from the effect: if remittances react counter-cyclically to growth, then the negative relationship between the two is a result of reverse causality running from growth to remittances, not vice versa.

2.2 Social impacts on the origin country

At its best, migration can be a rewarding experience that is made in the interest of the household welfare, but in most cases moving to another country and being separated from one's immediate family takes place at considerable emotional cost (D'Emilio *et al.* 2007). Especially temporary circular migration increases the risk for family breakdown, fragmentation of social networks and psychosocial stress (Kahn *et al.* 2003). The emotional impact is not just limited to the migrants themselves, but also to the family left behind. Especially in poorer households where the whole family cannot afford to emigrate together, they emigrate one member at a time resulting in eroded family structures and relationships.¹¹ As described by D'Emilio *et al.* (2007), the longer the separation between the migrating parents and their children, the more children lose parents' reference in the management of the household, their authority and their role as providers of love and material care. Parents are gradually replaced by other family members, or the children take upon themselves the task of parenting. The feelings of rejection, abandonment and loss follow the children left behind, and cannot be compensated by the material gifts and remittances sent from abroad. To some extent the recent technological advances in terms of e-mail and affordable telephone calls might allow the transnational families to form and foster social ties even at a distance (UNDP 2009, p. 76).

Separation from the parents has also long-term consequences in all aspects of the children's lives. Evidence from Mexico points to the fact that the offspring from migrant

¹¹ Interpreting the high divorce rates among migrants is not always straightforward. While it is true that protracted separation can take its toll on family cohesion, Lucas (2005, 268) points out that those whose marriages were unstable to begin with are more likely to migrate. It is also true that migration provides new economic opportunities for women, and increased economic independence may provide them an escape from a failed marriage. Furthermore, migration might provide an exit strategy in societies where divorce is not an option.

families have lower educational attainment than other children, as the boys of the migrants are more likely to opt for migration themselves (implying decreasing returns to education) while the domestic workload of the daughters increase (McKenzie & Rapoport 2006). Adolescents left behind are also commonly overrepresented in adapting risky behavior, and absence of mothers has been found to be associated with the involvement of children with violence: 80 percent of children in conflict with the law in Jamaica had their mothers absent, while this was the case for only 30 percent of other children (D’Emilio *et al.* 2007). Also the abuse of drugs and alcohol as well as reduced school attendance has been observed among children left behind by migrants. On the other hand, recent evidence from Mozambique suggests that migration could also strengthen social networks as the higher income from remittances reduces the cost for the migrant-sending household to participate in these networks (Gallego & Mendola 2010). This closer inter-family collaboration can, to some extent, remedy the absence of within-family cohesion and safety nets.

Even though migration is usually a voluntary and planned choice of the individual, the reality might turn out to be very different from the original expectations. Too often the intended aspirations of the migrants do not materialize but many are trapped in trafficking. Young women are exploited as sex workers abroad, and in many cases they have been promised legitimate work at the destination but then forced into prostitution upon arrival (see e.g. Kebede 2001). Also children are commonly victims of trafficking that can lead to life-long trauma. The abuse of migrants by the middle men or the recruitment agencies is a problem that is growing in magnitude as the migration flows increase and the phenomenon becomes more commercialized. The problem expands from pure trafficking into working in slave-like conditions as the international market for labor allows for trading with workers’ contracts leading to abuse of individual migrants.¹² The abuse of the migrant workers has lead to calls for further regulation of the recruitment agencies across migration corridors (see e.g. Agunias 2010).

¹² For instance, over 50 percent of migrant domestic workers surveyed in Kuwait reported physical (including sexual), psychological and verbal abuse at their work place. The female workers are also more vulnerable to sexual abuse by their male employers, who are often also their visa sponsors. (Chammartin 2005)

3. Impact of migration on the destination countries in the South

Unlike commonly believed, around half of the official international migration from the South is to other developing countries rather than wealthier countries in the North (Ratha & Shaw 2007). And official statistics likely underreport South-South migration, especially between contiguous countries because of lack of border controls; almost 80 percent of the South-South migration is estimated to take place between countries with contiguous borders.

3.1 Economic implications in the destination country

Even though the channels transmitting welfare impacts of migration on the destination countries are well known in the literature, there is very limited amount of empirical evidence from the South quantifying the impacts. Thus, a brief overview of the evidence from the North is presented in box 1. Migration of people, just as international trade, benefits both the sending country and the receiving country (van der Mensbrugghe & Roland-Holst 2009). The welfare gain for the destination country is due to the fact that immigration increases the supply of labor, which increases employment, production and thus GDP (Ortega and Peri 2009). Immigration has also been found to increase the productivity of the receiving economies through the contribution of migrants to innovation (see box 1). Another way in which immigration increases productivity is that immigrants free up the local workforce to move to higher productivity occupations.

Despite the benefits of immigration, public and the policymakers at the destination country usually believe that immigration can become an economic burden, as immigration is feared to lead to loss of jobs, heavy burden on public services, social tension and increased criminality (UNDP 2009, p. 70) despite the evidence to the contrary.¹³ The main channels for negative economic outcome for the destination countries are increased job competition that allegedly brings down the wages for the locals, and the increased fiscal burden for caring for a growing population of immigrants.

¹³ As noted by Hanson (2009), the economic benefits for allowing freer immigration to destination countries “may simply not be worth the political hassle”.

Box 1: Global gains from migration

Even though quantitative estimates of the direct gains from migration are difficult to obtain, economic simulations suggest that an increase in South-North migration would produce substantial income gains in the long-run; these income gains could exceed those from comprehensive trade liberalization; and the destination countries in the North would capture one fifth the overall benefits of increased immigration (World Bank 2006, Winters *et al.* 2003, Anderson & Winters 2008, van der Mensbrugghe & Roland-Holst 2009). Documented welfare gains from South-North migration work primarily through the increase in the available labor force. Ortega and Peri (2009) found that immigration increases employment in the destination countries in the North one for one, implying no crowding-out of natives. This result implies that immigration increases the total GDP of the receiving country without affecting average wages or labor productivity.

Immigration has also been observed to boost productivity through innovation and specialization. Data from the United States show that one percent increase in the share of migrant university graduates increase the number of patent applications and grants issued per capita (Chellaraj *et al.* 2008, Hunt & Gauthier-Loiselle 2008). However, burdensome regulatory requirements and procedures that foreign doctors, engineers, architects and accountants have to meet in order to practice in the destination country can impose significant financial and other costs on these highly skilled immigrants (Mattoo and Mishra 2009). Also the less-educated immigrants increase labor productivity as they complement the uneducated local labor force that, based on their knowledge of the local language and institutions, will be better able to specialize in more productive complementary tasks (Peri & Spaber 2009). Furthermore, immigrants are often willing to do jobs that locals no longer are interested in, such as care for the elderly (UNDP 2009, p. 85). Also, the availability of low-cost childcare by the immigrants can enable young local women to go back to work (Kremer & Watt 2006) thus boosting economic development further.

Incoming migrants need to be integrated into the labor force, which intensifies the competition for existing jobs. Especially in times of economic downturn, the general public and the policymakers tend to become more worried about the potential adverse impact of immigration on natives' opportunities, and immigrants can be used as scapegoats to blame for rising unemployment even though no strong evidence exists to show that immigrants take natives' jobs (Papademetriou *et al.* 2009).¹⁴ A common worry is also a downward pressure on salaries caused by an influx of migrant workers. Still, in the OECD countries the aggregate effect of immigration on wages has been found to be very small both in the short run and in the long run (Longhi *et al.* 2005), and similar

¹⁴ In Italy, government statistics agency publicly blamed immigration for the country's rising unemployment in 2008, while rising unemployment in Spain led the government to launch a program where unemployed immigrants were paid to return to their home countries – in the first few months of the scheme less than 1,400 out of 100,000 eligible immigrants signed up. (Papademetriou *et al.* 2009)

findings in the context of South-South migration has been confirmed by Ratha and Shaw (2007). Simulations from a general equilibrium model by van der Mansbrugghe and Roland-Holst (2009) suggest that reducing migration will not necessarily result in higher wages for native workers in receiving countries, since lower levels of migration will also lower the relative return to capital, which in turn will put downward pressure on wages.

The fiscal impact of immigration depends on the costs and contributions of the immigrant population to the welfare system of the host country. How extensive the social safety nets and welfare services are and to what extent migrants are allowed to access the domestic welfare system determines the cost on the host society, while their role as taxpayers determine their contributions to the system. The US Congressional Budget Office estimated that comprehensive immigration reform including legalization of undocumented immigrants, if undertaken, would increase federal revenues to a similar extent as the increase in federal spending on social security, healthcare and other benefits for the immigrants (CBO 2006). In the UK, already the first-generation migrants were found to do well economically and to make a net fiscal contribution (Gott & Johnston 2002). However, the limited fiscal implication of immigrants may also be due to the fact that many of them are not eligible for most benefits, and will thus need to turn to family networks instead (Papadimitriou *et al.* 2009). In the developing countries the welfare benefits might not be as numerous, and neither is the tax contribution of mostly undocumented immigrants likely to be substantial, but the migrants' value added to the production and economic growth is still a net gain for the country. Whether positive or negative, the net fiscal impacts of immigration are not likely to be large (UNDP 2009, p. 89). When it comes to the provision of health care, however, the destination countries in the South might face an increased burden. Irregular migrants in the South may have health needs that often remain unaddressed (UNDP 2009, p. 55).¹⁵

¹⁵ For instance, HIV prevalence is observed to rise with migration and the situation is made worse by the fact that in every fifth destination country there are laws, regulations or policies that present obstacles to effective HIV prevention, treatment, care and support for migrants (UNAIDS 2008, 114). While people with HIV commonly return to live with family members to obtain care, some people with AIDS-related opportunistic infections migrate to obtain health care (Crush *et al.* 2005). This could involve cross-border movements to a country perceived to have better health care facilities.

3.2 Social impacts on the destination countries in the South

Apart from the increased competition at the labor markets, **increasing inflows of migrants impose an integration challenge** in all areas of social life. In many of the developing countries, however, policies to manage immigration are lacking while control of the same is failing to curtail the inflow of migrants due to scarce resources, weak administrative capacity, and porous borders. Some of the cross-border migration is often widely accepted (Adepoju 2005), but sometimes immigrants even from neighboring countries are treated as unwanted foreigners (Crush 2000). This inability to control migration and to integrate the newcomers has at times led to dramatic actions and great human suffering. When the economy is already under pressure, failure of integration has sometimes led to massive expulsions of migrants mostly in the South. The Nigerian Government, for instance, expelled over 2 million immigrants mainly from Ghana in 1983 due to a domestic economic crisis, for which the aliens became scapegoats (Lassailly-Jacob *et al.* 2006). More recent examples of forced repatriation can be found both in the North as well as in the South: the United States deported more than 350,000 immigrants and South Africa 300,000 in 2008 alone (UNDP 2009, p. 99). The case of Cote d'Ivoire, where stripping of immigrants of some of their rights sparked a chaos that has led the once stable country to the verge of an internal conflict, illustrates the growing intolerance to foreigners in the South (Adepoju 2003, UNDP 2009). These instances highlight the importance of strengthening migration and integration policies in developing countries as they host large numbers of immigrants.

The challenge of integration is most prominent in urban areas. Most internal as well as international migrants end up in the cities of developing countries because of employment opportunities, with many working in the informal sector of business, transport, crafts and services. For example, a quarter of the population of Libreville in Gabon is from another country from Central Africa and West Africa (Lassailly-Jacob *et al.* 2006). Sometime the movement is driven by the falling living standards and weak support services in the source community (World Bank 2009). If the excess supply of labor is combined with poor ability of the local authorities to manage immigration, the result is commonly increased disparities and expansion of slum areas in the cities (UNDP

2009, p. 87). Forced migration can also contribute to urbanization. War, environmental degradation, and economic crisis lead to large population movements from rural areas into cities where people take refuge. There are allegedly 2 million Somalis in Mogadishu, mostly refugees escaping the fighting in their villages and seeking protection of the NGOs or the warlords (Lassailly-Jacob *et al.* 2006). The rapid expansion of cities combined with weak local administration or lack of migration policies have led to desperate actions by the host countries officials. In Dhaka, Bangladesh, 60,000 people were forcibly cleared from the slums in early 2007; in Jakarta, Indonesia, migrants are required to show proof of employment and housing to enter the city; and in Zimbabwe (internal) migrants were evicted from shanty towns around Harare in 2005 (UNDP 2009, p. 87). Migration is only a part of the urbanization challenge, but the interaction between migration and rapid urbanization is likely to be important for policy in the destination countries in the South.

4. Conclusion

Before presenting the policy recommendations, it would be useful to point out a few emerging themes in the literature and public debates on the impact of migration on climate change, political institutions, fertility and national security.

The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) noted already in 1990 that the greatest single impact of climate change could be on migration (IOM 2008). It predicted that as many as 200 million people may be forced to migrate by 2050 because of climate change (Myers 2005).¹⁶ Dasgupta and others (2007, 2010) estimate that rising sea levels, tidal waves and storm surges could displace millions of people in Bangladesh, some of whom might seek refuge in neighboring countries. The **effects of migration on climate change**, on the other hand, are less understood. It is generally assumed, that short term and circular migrants make little investment in maintaining the ecological stability of their destination while long-term immigrants have an incentive to invest in

¹⁶ Identifying adaptation and resettlement strategies have been called for to deal with the emigration pressure imposed by the climate change (Martin 2010).

environmental management.¹⁷ When migration is induced by a conflict or a natural disaster leading to a sudden inflow of migrants, the displaced people may resort to unsustainable activities in absence of other means of survival exacerbating existing environmental problems and creating new ones (Hugo 2008). Large refugee camps have especially been observed to put pressure on the ecosystem.¹⁸ McNally *et al.* (2002) argue that even long-term migration can affect the environment through increased competition for limited resources. On the other hand, migration can work as a channel for adoption of new techniques and raw materials, leading to more environmentally friendly production and consumption practices.

Migration can have important **implications for domestic institutions and politics**. The emigration of capable people may cause loss of governance capacity in countries where institutions are already weak. Also, emigration can serve as a way to release political pressure, which diminishes the incentives of the established political elite to reform, increases corruption, and reduces overall government effectiveness (Castles & Delgado Wise 2008, Chami 2008).¹⁹ Some observers have suggested that dependence on migration can create a “remittance-based development model” where remittances become increasingly critical for maintaining socioeconomic stability in the migrant-sending country (Delgado-Wise and Márquez 2006, Delgado-Wise and Guarnizo 2007). Migrants may also serve as a channel for democratic attitudes and behaviors absorbed in host countries to spread in their countries of origin, via visits, migrant returns, and cross-border communication, which can improve accountability (Levitt 1998, Perez-Armendariz & Crow 2010, Cordova & Hiskey 2009).

¹⁷ Yet, Black and Sessay (1997) compared the behavior of refugees to local population in Senegal, and found little or no evidence to support the expectation that refugees use more fuel wood than local residents.

¹⁸ One of the most reported cases is the Virunga national park in North Kivu where thousands of Rwandan refugees who were brought there in 1994 engaged in intensive woodcutting and poaching (Lassailly-Jacob *et al.* 2006)

¹⁹ The risk of institutional degradation may not, however, be as large for remittances-receiving countries compared to similar effects in resource rich countries, since remittances are widely dispersed, the bulk of them are allocated in small amounts, and where the money does not go through the government, also the risk of corruption is diminished (World Bank 2006, Birdsall and Subramanian 2004).

Migration also shapes **values and attitudes** towards gender roles within the household (Ghosh 2009).²⁰ When women move their role as caregivers changes, and men have been found to be more likely to engage in reproductive activities such as caring for the children and the elderly (King & Vullnetari 2006). When the men emigrate, women are empowered to take more prominent part of the community decision making, control their own income, and expand their role in the domestic sphere (Deshingkar & Grimm 2005, p. 39). Even other domestic norms, such as greater emphasis on girls' schooling, higher age of marriage, can filter from the destination country to the sending society (Fargues 2007)²¹. Migration decision is also an integral part of family planning decisions and lead to differences in fertility rates among migrants and non-migrants (Singley & Landale 1998, Fargues 2007)²². Studies find migrants' fertility to resemble more closely that of natives at destination, either due to social adaptation or self-selection of migrants by fertility preferences (Kulu 2005, Chattopadhyay *et al.* 2006), although there can be regional variations.²³

Forced migration due to conflicts, persecution, or sudden impoverishment may lead to international migration across borders even while large migrant groups end up being internally displaced refugees in their own country (Newland *et al.* 2003, Martin 2001). For internally displaced population, the hostility across the different groupings in the country causes tension for regions hosting refugees, while the international refugee camps involve the possibility of international **conflict**.²⁴ When the size of a refugee population increases over time, which is not uncommon as conflicts escalate, host communities are often overwhelmed and feel that their resources are threatened (Ek &

²⁰ Another theme drawing a lot of discussion but with scant empirical evidence and rigorous analysis is gender and migration. This theme appears to be beyond the scope of this paper focused on development impacts.

²¹ The evidence on the diffusion of the cultural norms is still tentative, and households demonstrate considerable flexibility in restructuring their roles to accommodate seasonal migrations (Hampshire 2006).

²² Beine *et al.* (2009) find that one percent decrease in the fertility norm in the migrant destination countries reduces fertility rates in the origin country by about 0.3 percent.

²³ For migrants who came from economically well-off areas of Kenya, outmigration was associated with falling fertility rates, while for migrants from peripheral areas migration and consequently remittances allowed for higher fertility (Ochola Omondi & Ayiamba 2003).

²⁴ Refugee camps built close to the boarder allow imposing a threat of military intrusion or the camps themselves to become militarized (Lassailly-Jacob *et al.* 2006). The host countries may also use the refugees to put political and military pressure on the leaders of their countries of origin.

Karadawi 1991).²⁵ If, on the other hand, the refugees are allowed to and are able to find jobs and send home remittances, these could also be a valuable resource for reconstruction (Castles & Miller 2009, p. 61).

There are also wider security concerns suggesting that migrants would be disproportionately involved in **criminal activity** than natives (Mattes *et al.* 2000, Danso & McDonald 2001, Quirk 2008), even though data to support such a claim are lacking (Crush & Williams 2002)²⁶. Providing support mechanisms for youth at risk, and ensuring proper integration of the migrants will be ways to diminish the risk of violence and manage the development impact of migration.

Although most remittances sent by migrants are legitimate transfers, the continued existence and use of informal channels has raised concerns of money laundering, terrorist financing and **financial crimes** leading to heavy regulation of providers of remittance services. The need for such alternative channels arise from the sustained high cost of remitting through formal financial institutions, cumbersome legislation related to money transfers, and mistrust of the migrants towards the financial institutions (Shehu 2004, Lucas 2004, Maimbo 2004, Ratha and Riedberg 2005, Irving *et al.* 2010). Informality is particularly prevalent in the “South-South” remittance corridors (Ratha and Shaw 2007, Bracking and Sachikonye 2008).

Migration does not only imply movement of people, but also movement of **cultures** (Castles & Miller 2009, p. 41). Successful assimilation to the destination country requires the migrants to interact with the new society, while keeping the culture of the origin country alive creates a positive environment for the multicultural identity to form (Guarnizo *et al.* 2003, Faist & Gerdes 2008). On the other hand, some migrants may resort to the customs of the origin country more rigorously as they would have done back home. This highlights the importance of integration of the immigrants, and supporting them in the creation and formation of their new multicultural identity.

²⁵ For example, Kenya serves as a host country to hundreds of thousands of individuals living in camps without the ability to integrate into the local workforce or acquire sustainable sources of income (Banki 2004).

²⁶ In USA, for example, the incarceration rate of the locally born men aged 18-39 was 3.5 percent, while the same rate for foreign born was merely 0.7 in 2000 (IPC 2007). In Europe, however, there are generally more foreign-born in prison than locals, even though the results vary by country (Mucherjee 1999).

Selected policy suggestions

There is a vast literature discussing policy recommendations that nurture the benefits and mitigate the negative effects of migration. Before concluding, we briefly summarize a few selected policy suggestions such as integrating migration and development policies (both in South and North), facilitating the flow on remittances, improving recruitment practices, and facilitating international labor mobility.

Migration should be incorporated both in the development cooperation strategies in the North, as well as in the national poverty reduction strategies in the South (Clemens 2010). Although as of yet rare in practice (UNDP 2009, p. 82), there are some cases of successful strategies for cooperative efforts between the sending and the receiving countries to manage migration (Martin *et al.* 2002).²⁷ Areas of cooperation include the drivers of migration in the source country, networks that move people across borders, and integrating the legal migrants into their destination countries.

While many developing countries have large stocks of immigrants, very few of them have explicit policies on how to deal with immigration or the capacity to manage their borders effectively. Similarly to the destination countries in the North, there are calls in developing countries to focus on attracting the highly skilled migrants, discourage irregular migration, and reports of growing xenophobia (Lucas 2006). Empowering the sending and receiving countries in the South to manage international mobility will benefit the migrants, the host societies and the country of origin.

Statistics on migration and remittances are often of poor quality, especially in developing countries. Few statistics measure migration flows and data are even scarcer when it comes to transit, circular, or irregular migration (World Bank 2010b, p. 25-26). Official estimates of remittance flows are usually gross underestimates of the true volumes, since a large portion of remittances are sent through unofficial channels.²⁸

²⁷ For instance, Mali and France initiated annual bilateral consultations at the ministerial level to discuss the integration of Malians who want to remain in France, co-management of migration flows, and cooperative development in emigration areas in Mali. Italy and Albania, on the other hand, have collaborated in a joint police program to combat smuggling and trafficking. (For more examples, see Martin *et al.* 2002)

²⁸ Data collected by the central banks sometime do not distinguish remittances from other low-value transfers such as small-value trade payments. In a recent survey of the central banks (Irving *et al.* 2010)

Improving data collection can facilitate better policies to enhance migration for development.²⁹

The development community can further leverage remittance flows for development by making them cheaper, safer and more productive for both the sending and the receiving countries. An “International Remittances Agenda” would involve: (1) monitoring, analysis and projections; (2) improving retail payment systems through use of better technologies and appropriate regulatory changes; (3) linking remittances to financial access at the household level; and (4) leveraging remittances for capital market access at the institutional or macro levels (Ratha 2007).

As the labor market becomes increasingly global, the educational policies in developing countries will need to be revised to invest in skills that are needed within the country as well as in the global labor markets. On the other hand, the race for talent has led some destination country institutions to actively engage in recruitment of highly educated migrants, such as doctors. However, attempts to regulate skilled-worker mobility, or so-called “ethical recruitment policies”, does little to address the underlying causes of emigration decisions, and often leads to unintended adverse consequences (Clemens 2009). The freedom of mobility should thus be allowed for all skill-levels, while complementary measures can be developed to foster service provision and skill development in the source country.

Recruitment companies account often for the majority of the cost of migrating, especially when it comes to low-skilled migrants (Lucas 2005, p. 278) that can leave the migrant in debt, lower wages than promised, long hours and unsafe working conditions (see e.g. Chammartin 2005). Facilitating migration through safe and legal channels through better monitoring of recruitment processes and bilateral coordination will help to protect the rights of the migrants and fight exploitation and trafficking (UNDP 2009, p. 103). Providing knowledge about the migration process and language of the destination

wide discrepancies were discovered between the true estimated remittance flows and the figures reported in the balance of payment statistics to the International Monetary Fund (IMF).

²⁹ A recent report by the Commission on International Migration Data for Development Research and Policy (2009) suggest five concrete steps towards improved availability and quality of migrant and remittance data. Further guidance can be found in Black & Skeldon (2009).

country will also enhance integration and quicker adjustment of migrants to the new labor market (UNDP 2009, p. 104).³⁰

Migrants, especially those in an undocumented or irregular situation, are likely to face discrimination, exclusion, exploitation and abuse at all stages of the migration process (GMG 2010). Female migrants and children often face greater risks, including of trafficking and being deprived of education and access to healthcare. Protecting the human rights of migrants is part of the obligations of the State to respect the internationally guaranteed rights of all persons, to protect those rights against abuses, and to fulfill the rights necessary for them to enjoy a life of dignity and security (GMG 2010).

Immigration and border control policies need to recognize that migration is primarily an economic phenomenon (Ratha 2009). Evidence from the US-Mexico border suggests that increasing the number of border control agents increases smuggler's fees, but is unlikely to curtail the number of migrants as intended (Hanson *et al.* 1999, Durand and Massey 2002, Martin 2004, Passel and Suro 2005). Instead, providing legal channels for temporary migration when labor is in high demand in the destination country is more likely to enhance the benefits of migration for all parties.

In conclusion, migration and remittances can be a valuable complement to broad-based development efforts. Yet, migration and remittances (collective or individual) should not be viewed as a substitute for official development aid as they are private money that should not be expected to fund public projects. Also, not all poor households receive remittances and official funds are needed to address the needs of these households. Harnessing the development potential of migration and remittances by increasing the awareness of the decision makers and improving data on remittances and migration; facilitating labor mobility and recruitment across borders, while allowing for safe and affordable mechanisms for sending money back; and combating the increase of

³⁰ For instance, the Philippines government organizes mandatory orientation courses for migrants prior to leaving where they learn about destination country customs and laws, resources available to them at the embassy or consulates, important contacts for any problems that might arise, and financial management (Ruiz 2008). The "Swedish for Immigrants" course offered for newcomers in Sweden free of charge with specific classes designed for uneducated or illiterate immigrants and highly educated professionals who need specific vocabulary to be able to work in Sweden, respectively, is a good example of such training.

fear-based xenophobia and overregulation are some ingredients along the way towards a migration policy that benefits both migrant-sending and receiving countries.

References

- Abdih, Y., R. Chami, M. Gapen, & A. Mati. 2009. "Fiscal Sustainability in Remittance-Dependent Economies." *IMF Working Paper* WP/09/190, International Monetary Fund.
- Adams, R. H. 1991. "The Effects of International Remittances on Poverty, Inequality, and Development in Rural Egypt." *Research Report* 86, International Food Policy Research Institute.
- . 2005. "Remittances, Household Expenditure and Investment in Guatemala." *Policy Research Working Paper Series*, No. 3532, The World Bank.
- . 2006a. "International Remittances and the Household: Analysis and Review of Global Evidence." *Journal of African Economies*, Vol. 15, AERC Supplement 2, pp. 396-425.
- . 2006b. "Remittances and Poverty in Ghana." *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper*, No. 3838, The World Bank.
- Adams, R. H., A. Cuecueccha, & J. Page. 2008. "Remittances, Consumption and Investment in Ghana." *Policy Research Working Paper*, No. 4515, World Bank.
- Adams, R.H., & J. Page. 2003. "International Migration, Remittances and Poverty in Developing Countries." *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper*, No. 3179, The World Bank.
- . 2005. "Do International Migration and Remittances Reduce Poverty in Developing Countries?" *World Development*, Vol. 33, No. 10, pp. 1645-1669.
- Adepoju, A. 2003. "Migration in West Africa." *Development*, Vol. 46, No. 3, pp. 37-41.
- . 2005. "Creating a Borderless West Africa: Constraints and Prospects for intra-Regional Migration." UNESCO.
- Aggrawal, R. A. Demirguc-Kunt, & M. S. Martinez Peria. 2006. "Do Remittances Promote Financial Development?" *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper Series*, No. 3957, The World Bank.
- Agunias, R.D. 2010. *Migration's Middlemen, Regulating Recruitment Agencies in the Philippines-United Arab Emirates Corridor*. Migration Policy Institute, Washington D.C.
- Ajayi, M.A., M.A. Ijaiya, G.T. Ijaiya, R.A. Bello, M.A Ijaiya, & S.L Adeyemi. 2009. "International Remittances and Well-Being in Sub-Saharan Africa." *Journal of Economics and International Finance*, Vol. 1, No. 3, pp. 078-084.

Anderson, K., & L.A. Winters. 2008. "The Challenge of Reducing International Trade and Migration Barriers." CEPR Discussion Paper 6760, March.

Anyanwu, J. C., & E.O. Erhijakpor. 2010. "Do Remittances Affect Poverty in Africa?" *African Development Review*, Vol. 22, No. 1, pp. 51-91.

Avendano, R., N. Gaillard and S. Nieto-Parra. 2009. "Are Workers' Remittances Relevant for Credit Rating Agencies?" OECD Development Centre Working Papers 282, OECD, Development Centre.

Azam, J.P., & F. Gubert. 2006. "Migrants' Remittances and the Household in Africa: A Review of the Evidence." *Journal of African Economies*, Vol. 15, AERC Supplement 2, pp. 426-462.

Banki, S. 2004. "Refugee Integration in the Intermediate Term: A Study of Nepal, Pakistan, and Kenya." PDES Working Paper No. 108, UNHCR.

Barajas, A., R. Chami, C. Fullenkamp, M. Gapsen & P. Montiel. 2009. "Do Workers' Remittances Promote Economic Growth?" *IMF Working Paper* WP/09/153, International Monetary Fund, Washington DC.

Barham, B., & S. Boucher. 1998. "Migration, Remittances, and Inequality: Estimating the Net Effects of Migration on Income Distribution." *Journal of Development Economics*, Vol. 55, Issue 2, pp. 307-331.

Beine, M., F. Docquier & M. Schiff. 2009. "International Migration, Transfers of Norms, and Home Country Fertility." *Policy Research Working Paper*, No. 4925, The World Bank.

Birdsall, N., & A. Subramanian. 2004 "Saving Iraq from Its Oil." *Foreign Affairs*, Vol. 83, No. 4, pp. 77-89.

Black, R., & M. Sessay. 1997. "Forced Migration, Environmental Change and Woodfuel Issues in Senegal River Valley." *Environmental Conservation*, Vol. 24, pp. 251-260, Cambridge University Press.

Black, R., & R. Skeldon. 2009. "Strengthening Data and Research Tools on Migration and Development." *International Migration*, Vol. 47, No. 5, pp. 3-22(20).

Bourdet, Y., & H. Falck. 2006. "Emigrants' Remittances and Dutch Disease in Cape Verde." *International Economic Journal*, Vol. 20, Issue 3, pp. 267-284.

Bracking, S., & L. Sachikonye. 2008 "Remittances, Poverty reduction and Informalization in Zimbabwe 2005-6: A Political Economy of Dispossession?" *Brooks World Poverty Institute Working Paper* No. 28, The University of Manchester.

Brummer, D. 2002. "Labor Migration and HIV/AIDS in Southern Africa." IOM Regional Office for Southern Africa.

Bugamelli, M., & F. Paterno. 2006. "Workers' Remittances and Current Account Reversals." *Bank of Italy Economic Research Paper*, No. 573, Bank of Italy.

Carling, J. 2005. *Migrant remittances and Development Cooperation*. PRIO Report 1/2005, Oslo.

Castles, S., & R. Delgado Wise (eds.). 2008. *Migration and Development: Perspectives from the South*. International Organization for Migration (IOM), Geneva.

Castles, S., & M. Miller. 2009. *The Age of Migration*. 4th Edition, Guilford Press, New York.

CBO (Congressional Budget Office). 2006. "S. 2611 Comprehensive Immigration Reform Act of 2006." Congressional Budget Office Cost Estimate of US Immigration Reform. May 16, 2006. (<http://www.cbo.gov/ftpdocs/72xx/doc7208/s2611.pdf>)

Chami, R. 2008. "Remittances and Institutions: Are Remittances a Curse?" *IMF Working Paper* WP/08/29, IMF.

Chami, R., D. Hakura, & P. Montiel. 2009. "Remittances: An Automatic Output Stabilizer?" *IMF Working Paper* WP/09/91, IMF.

Chami, R., C. Fullenkamp, & S. Jahjah. 2003. "Are Immigrant Remittance Flows a Source of Capital for Development." *IMF Working Paper Series*, WP/03/189, IMF.

Chammartin, G. M. 2005. "Domestic Workers: Little Protection for the Underpaid." *Migration Information Source*, Migration Policy Institute.

Chattopadhyay, M., M.J. White, & C. Debpuur. 2006. "Migrant Fertility in Ghana: Selection versus Adaptation and Disruption as Causal Mechanisms." *Population Studies*, Vol. 60, No. 2, pp. 189-203.

Chellaraj, G., K.E. Maskus, & A. Mattoo. 2008. "The contribution of skilled immigration and international graduate students to U.S. innovation." *Review of International Economics*, Vol. 16(3), pp. 444-462.

Clemens, M. 2007. "Do Visas Kill? Health Effects of African Health Professional Emigration." *CGD Working Paper*, No. 114, Center for Global Development, Washington DC.

———. 2009. “Skill Flow: A Fundamental Reconsideration of Skilled-Worker Mobility and Development.” *CGD Working Paper* No. 180, Center for Global Development, Washington DC.

———. 2010. “Labor Mobility Agenda for Development.” *CGD Working Paper* No. 201, Center for Global Development, Washington DC.

Clemens, M., & L. Pritchett. 2008. “Income per natural: Measuring development as if people mattered more than places.” *Working Paper* No. 143, Center for Global Development, Washington DC.

Commander, S., M. Kangasniemi, & L.A. Winters. 2004. “The Brain Drain: Curse or Boon? A Survey of the Literature.” In Baldwin, R.E. & Winters, L.A. (eds.) *Challenges to Globalization: Analyzing the Economics*, National Bureau of Economic Research, pp. 235-278.

Commission on International Migration Data for Development Research and Policy. 2009. *Migrants Count: Five Steps Toward Better Migration Data*. Center for Global Development, Washington DC.

Cordova, A. & J. Hiskey. 2009. “Migrant Networks and Democracy in Latin America.” unpublished working paper from Nashville University, as quoted in UNDP (2009).

Cox Edwards, A., & M. Ureta. 2003. “International Migration, Remittances, and Schooling: Evidence from El Salvador.” *Journal of Development Economics*, Vol. 72, Issue 2, pp. 429-461.

Crush, J. 2000. “The Dark Side of Democracy: Migration, Xenophobia and Human Rights in South Africa.” *International Migration*, Vol. 38, Issue 6, pp. 103-133, International Organization for Migration (IOM).

Crush, J., & V. Williams. 2002. “Criminal Tendencies: Immigrants and Illegality in South Africa.” *Migration Policy Brief*, No. 10, Southern African Migration Programme.

Crush, J., V. Williams, & S. Peberdy. 2005. “Migration in Southern Africa.” Global Commission on International Migration. www.gcim.org

Danso, R. & D. McDonald. 2001. “Writing Xenophobia: Immigration and the Print Media in Post-Apartheid South Africa.” *Africa Today*, Vol. 48, No. 3, pp. 115-137.

Dasgupta, S., & others. 2007. “The impact of sea level rise on developing countries: a comparative analysis.” *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper Series*, No. 4136, The World Bank.

———. 2010. “Vulnerability of Bangladesh to cyclones in a changing climate: Potential damages and adaptation cost.” *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper Series*, No. 5280, The World Bank.

D’Emilio, A.L., B. Cordero, B. Bainvel, C. Skoog, C., D. Comini, J. Gough, M. Dias, R. Saab, & T. Kilbane. 2007. *The Impact of International Migration: Children Left Behind in Selected Countries of Latin America and the Caribbean*. Division of Policy and Planning, United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF), New York.

De Haas, H. 2005. “International Migration, Remittances and Development: Myths and Facts.” *Third World Quarterly*, Vol. 26, No. 8, pp. 1269-1284.

Decosas, J., F. Kane, J. Anarfi, K.D. Sodji, & H.U. Wagner. 1995. “Migration and AIDS.” *Lancet*, Vol. 346, No. 8978, pp. 826-828.

Delgado-Wise, R., and H. Márquez. 2006. “The Mexico-United States Migratory System: Dilemmas of Regional Integration, Development, and Emigration.” Proceedings. Conference: Migration and Development: Perspectives from the South, July 10-13, Bellagio, Italia

Delgado-Wise, R., & L. Guarnizo. 2007. “Migration and Development: Lessons from the Mexican Experience.” Feature Story, Migration Policy Institute.

Deshingkar, P., & S. Grimm. 2005. “International Migration and Development: A Global Perspective.” *Migration Research Series*, No. 19, International Organization for Migration, Geneva.

Docquier, F., H. Rapoport, & I-L. Shen. 2010a. “Remittances and Inequality: A Dynamic Migration Model.” *Journal of Economic Inequality*, Vol. 8, No. 2, pp. 197-220.

Docquier, F., L. Marchiori, & I-L. Shen. 2010b. “Brain drain in globalization: A general equilibrium analysis from the sending countries’ perspective.” *CEPR Discussion Paper*, No. 7682.

Dovlo, D. 2003. “*The Brain Drain and Retention of Health Professionals in Africa.*” Case Study prepared for Regional Training Conference: Improving Tertiary Education in Sub-Saharan Africa: Things That Work!, Accra, 23-25 September.

Dustmann, C., I. Fadlon, & Y. Weiss. 2010. “Return Migration, Human Capital Accumulation, and the Brain Drain.” unpublished draft paper prepared for the Multi-Donor Trust Fund on Labor Markets, Job Creation and Economic Growth administered by the World Bank’s Social Protection and Labor Unit, April 2010.

Durand, J., & D. Massey. 2002. *Beyond Smoke and Mirrors: Mexican Immigration in an Age of Economic Integration*. New York: Russell Sage Foundation.

Ek, R., & A. Karadawi. 1991. "Implications of Refugee Flows on Political Stability in the Sudan." *Ambio*, Vol. 20, No. 5, Environmental Security, pp. 196-203.

Fafchamps, M. 2004. *Market Institutions in Sub-Saharan Africa: Theory and Evidence*. Cambridge, MIT Press.

Faist, T., & J. Gerdes. 2008. "Dual Citizenship in an Age of Mobility." Paper commissioned by the Transatlantic Council on Migration for its inaugural meeting held in Bellagio, Italy, in April 2008, Migration Policy Institute.

Fajnzylber, P., & H. Lopez. 2007. *Close to Home: The Development Impact of Remittances in Latin America*. The World Bank, Washington DC.

Fargues, P. 2007. "The Demographic Benefits of International Migration: A Hypothesis and Its Application to Middle Eastern and North African Countries." In C. Ozden & M. Schiff (eds), *International Migration, Economic Development and Policy*, World Bank and Palgrave Macmillan, Washington DC.

Gallego, J.M., & M. Mendola. 2010. "Labor Migration and Social Networks Participation: Evidence from Southern Mozambique." Working Papers series, No. 183, University of Milano-Bicocca, Department of Economics.

Ghosh, B. 2006. "*Migrants' Remittances and Development: Myths, Rhetoric and Realities*." International Organization for Migration (IOM).

Ghosh, J. 2009. "Migration and Gender Empowerment: Recent Trends and Emerging Issues." *Human Development Research Paper*, No. 4, United Nations Development Program, New York.

Giuliano, P., & M. Ruiz-Arranz. 2005. "Remittances, Financial Development and Growth." *IMF Working Paper Series*, WP/05/234, IMF.

Goldring, L. 2004. "Family and Collective Remittances to Mexico: A Multi-Dimensional Typology." *Development and Change*, 35(4), pp. 799-840.

Gott, C., & K. Johnston. 2002. "The migrant population in the UK: Fiscal effects." The Research, Development and Statistics Directorate Occasional Paper no. 77, Home Office.

GMG (Global Migration Group). 2010. "Statement of the Global Migration Group on the Human Rights of Migrants in Irregular Situation." Statement adopted by the Principals of the Global Migration Group in Geneva on 30 September 2010.

- Guarnizo, L.E., A. Portes, & W. Haller. 2003. "Assimilation and Transnationalism: Determinants of Transnational Political Action among Contemporary Immigrants." *American Journal of Sociology*, Vol. 108, No. 6, pp. 1211-1248.
- Gupta, S., C. Pattillo, & S. Wagh. 2007. "Impact of Remittances on Poverty and Financial Development in Sub-Saharan Africa." *IMF Working Paper*, WP-07-38, IMF.
- Gustafsson, B. & N. Makonnen. 1993. "Poverty and Remittances in Lesotho." *Journal of African Economics*, Vol. 2, pp. 49-73.
- Hampshire, K. 2006. "Flexibility in Domestic Organization and Seasonal Migration among the Fulani in Northern Burkina Faso." *Africa: Journal of the International African Institute*, Vol. 76, No. 3, pp. 402-426.
- Hanson, G. 2009. "The Governance of Migration Policy." *Human Development Research Paper (HDRP) Series*, Vol. 02, No. 2009.
- Hanson, G., R. Robertson, & A. Spilimbergo. 1999. "Does Border Enforcement Protect U.S. Workers from Illegal Immigration?" *NBER Working Papers*, No. 7054, National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Hildebrandt, N., & D. McKenzie. 2005. "The Effects of Migration on Child Health in Mexico." *World Bank Policy Research Paper*, No. 3573, The World Bank.
- Hugo, G. 2008. "Migration, Development and Environment." Migration Research Series, No. 31, International Organization for Migration (IOM), Geneva.
- Hutchinson, B. 2005. "Medical Tourism Growing Worldwide." *UDAILY* July 25 [retrieved October 6, 2010].
- IMF (International Monetary Fund). 2005. *World Economic Outlook: Globalization and External Imbalances*, chapter 2, Washington, D.C.
- . 2010. "Implementation of the Joint Management Action Plan on Bank-Fund Collaboration." International Monetary Fund and World Bank. (<http://www.imf.org/external/np/pp/eng/2010/030310.pdf>).
- IOM (International Organization for Migration). 2008. "Migration and Climate Change." *IOM Migration Research Series*, No. 31, Geneva.
- IPC (Immigration Policy Center). 2007. *The Myth of Immigrant Criminality and the Paradox of Assimilation: Incarceration Rates among Native and Foreign-Born Men*. American Immigration Law Foundation, Washington DC.

Irving, J., S. Mohapatra, & D. Ratha. 2010. "Migrant Remittance Flows, Findings from a Global Survey of Central Banks." *World Bank Working Paper*, No. 194, World Bank.

Jongwanich, J. 2007. "Workers' Remittances, Economic Growth and Poverty in Developing Asia and the Pacific Countries." *UNESCAP Working Paper*, WP/07/01.

Kahn, K., M. Collison, S. Tollman, B. Wolff, M. Garenne, & S. Clark. 2003. "Health Consequences of Migration: Evidence from South Africa's Rural Northeast (Agincourt)." Paper prepared for Conference on African Migration in Comparative Perspective, Johannesburg, South Africa, 4-7 June 2003.

Kane, F., M. Alary, I. Ndoeye, A.M. Coll, S. M'boup, A. Gueye, P.J. Kanki, & J.R. Joly. 1993. "Temporary Expatriation Is Related to HIV-1 Infection in Rural Senegal." *AIDS*, Vol. 7, No. 9, pp. 1261-1265.

Kapur, D., & J. McHale. 2005. *Give Us Your Best and Brightest: The Global Hunt for Talent and Its Impact on the Developing World*. Center for Global Development, Washington DC.

Kebede, E., 2001. "Ethiopia: An Assessment of the International Labour Migration Situation: The Case of Female Migrants." GENPRO Working Paper No. 3, Gender Promotion Program, International Labour Office, Geneva.

Ketkar, S., & D. Ratha. 2009. *Innovative Financing for Development*. World Bank, Washington DC.

———. 2010. "Diaspora Bonds: Tapping the Diaspora during Difficult Times." *Working Paper*, World Bank, Washington DC.

King, R., & J. Vullnetari. 2006. "Orphan Pensioners and Migrating Grandparents: The Impact of Mass Migration on Older People in Rural Albania." *Aging and Society*, Vol. 26, Issue 5, pp. 783-816.

Koechlin, V., & G. Leon. 2007. "International Remittances and Income Inequality: An Empirical Investigation." *Journal of Policy Review*, Vol. 10, No. 2.

Kothari, U. 2002. "Migration and Chronic Poverty." *Working Paper* No. 16, Chronic Poverty Research Center, Institute for Development Policy and Management, University of Manchester.

Kremer, M., & S. Watt. 2006. "The Globalization of Household Production." *Working Paper 2008-0086*, Weatherhead Center for International Affairs, Harvard University.

Kulu, H. 2005. "Migration and Fertility: Competing Hypothesis Re-examined." *European Journal of Population*, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 51-87.

- Lachaud, J.P. 1999. "Pauvrete, ménages et genre en Afrique subsaharienne." Serie de recherché 03, Centre d'Economie du Developpement de l'Universite Montesquieu Bordeaux IV.
- Lassailly-Jacob, V., F. Boyer, & J. Brachet. 2006. "South-South Migration, Example of Sub-Saharan Africa." Policy Department External Policies, European Parliament.
- Levitt, P. 1998. "Social Remittances: Migration-Driven Local Level Forms of Cultural Diffusion." *International Migration Review*, Vol. 32, No. 4, pp. 926-948.
- Lipton, M. 1980. "Migration from Rural Areas of Poor Countries: The Impact of Rural Productivity and Income Distribution." *World Development*, Vol. 8, Issue 1, pp. 1-24.
- Longhi, S., P. Nijkamp, & J. Poot. 2005. "A Meta-Analytic Assessment of the Effect of Immigration on Wages." *Journal of Economic Surveys*, Vol. 19, No. 3, pp. 451-477.
- Lopez Cordova, E. 2004. "Globalization, Migration and Development: The Role of Mexican Migrant Remittances." Inter-American Development Bank, Washington DC.
- Lucas, R.E.B. 1987. "Emigration to South Africa's Mines." *American Economic Review*, Vol. 77, No. 3, pp. 313-330.
- . 2005. *International Migration and Economic Development, Lessons from Low-Income Countries*. The Expert Group on Development Issues (EGDI), Edward Elgar Publishing Limited, Massachusetts.
- . 2006. "Migration and Economic Development in Africa: A Review of Evidence." *Journal of African Economies*, Vol. 15, AERC Supplement 2, pp. 337-395.
- Lurie, M. 2000. "Migration and AIDS in Southern Africa: A Review." *South African Journal of Science*, Vol. 96, pp. 343-347.
- Lurie, M., B. Williams, A.W. Sturm, G. Garnett, K. Zuma, J. Gittlesohn, & K. Abdool. 2000. "Migration and the Spread of HIV in Southern Africa: Prevalence and risk Factors among Migrants and their Partners, and Non-migrants and Their Partners." International Conference on AIDS, Durban.
- Maimbo, S.M. 2004. "The Regulation and Supervision of Informal Remittance Systems: Emerging Oversight Strategies." Seminar on current developments in monetary and financial law, November 24th, International Monetary Fund.
- Mansuri, G. 2006. "Migration, Sex Bias, and Child Growth in Rural Pakistan." *Policy Research Working Paper*, No. 3946, World Bank, Washington DC.

- Martin, P. 2004. "New NAFTA and Mexico-U.S. Migration: The 2004 Policy Options." *Agricultural and Resource Economics Update*, Vol. 8, No. 2, University of California Giannini Foundation.
- Martin, S. 2001. "Forced Migration and Professionalism." *International Migration Review*, Vol. 35, No. 1, pp. 226-243.
- . 2010. "Climate Change, Migration and Adaptation." The German Marshall Fund of the United States, June 2010.
- Martin, S., P. Martin, & P. Weil. 2002. "Fostering Cooperation between Source and Destination Countries." *Migration Information Source*, Migration Policy Institute.
- Mattoo, A., & D. Mishra. 2009. "Foreign Professionals in the United States: Regulatory Impediments to Trade." *Journal of International Economic Law*, Vol. 12(2), pp. 435-456.
- Mattes, R., D. Taylor, & D. McDonald. 2000. "South African Attitudes towards Immigrants and Immigration." In McDonald (ed.), *On Borders: Perspectives on International Migration in Southern Africa*. Southern African Migration Project, Cape Town.
- McKenzie, D., & H. Rapoport. 2006. "Can Migration Reduce Educational Attainment? Evidence from Mexico." *World Bank Policy Research Paper*, No. 3952, The World Bank.
- McNally, J., J. Poggie, & A. Perez. 2002. "The Impact of Rapid Migration on Environmental Stability: Coastal Resource Management in Palawan Province, Philippines." Paper prepared for the 2002 IUUSP Population Meetings, Bangkok, Thailand.
- Mohapatra, S., G. Joseph, & D. Ratha. 2009. "Remittances and Natural Disasters, Ex-post Response and Contribution to Ex-ante Preparedness." *Policy Research Working Paper*, No. 4972, The World Bank.
- Morduch, J. 1994. "Poverty and Vulnerability." *The American Economic Review*, 84(2), pp. 221-225.
- Mountford, D. 1997. "Can a Brain Drain Be Good for Growth in the Source Country?" *Journal of Development Economics*, Vol. 53, pp. 287-303.
- Mucherjee, S. 1999. *Ethnicity and Crime: An Australian Research Study*. Australian Institute of Criminology.
- Myers, N. 2005. "Environmental Refugees: An emergent security issue." 13th Economic Forum, Prague.

Nagarajan, S. 2009. *Migration, Remittances, and Household Health: Evidence from South Africa*. Ph.D. dissertation, The George Washington University, Washington DC.

Newland, K., E. Patrick & M. Zard. 2003. *No Refuge: The Challenge of Internal Displacement*. United Nations, Office of the Coordination and Humanitarian Affairs, New York.

Ochola Omondi, C., & E.H.O. Ayiemba. 2003. "Migration and Fertility Relationship: A Case Study of Kenya." *African population Studies*, Vol. 18, No. 1, pp. 97-113.

Orozco, M., & E. Garcia-Zanillo. 2009. "Hometown Associations: Transnationalism, Philanthropy, and Development." *Brown Journal of World Affairs*, Spring-Summer 2009, Volume XV, Issue II.

Ortega, F., & G. Peri. 2009. "The Causes and Effects of International Labor Mobility: Evidence from OECD Countries 1980-2005." *Human Development Research Paper*, No. 6, United Nations Development Program (UNDP), New York.

Papademetriou, D., M. Sumption, & W. Somerville. 2009. "Migration and the Economic Downturn: What to Expect in the European Union." Transatlantic Council on Migration, Migration Policy Institute.

Passel, J.S., and R. Suro. 2005. "Rise, Peak and Decline: Trends in U.S. Immigration 1992-2004." Pew Hispanic Center report No. 53.
(<http://pewhispanic.org/files/reports/53.pdf>)

Perez-Armendariz, C., & D. Crow. 2010. "Do Migrants Remit Democracy? International Migration, Political Beliefs, and Behavior in Mexico." *Comparative Political Studies*, Vol. 43, No. 1, pp. 119-148.

Peri, G., & C. Spaber. 2009. "Task Specialization, Immigration and Wages." *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, Vol. 1, No. 3, pp. 135-169.

Ponsot, F., & B. Obegi. 2010. *Etude de capitalisation des initiatives et mecanismes en matiere de transferts de fonds au Mali*. Report prepared for the European Commission and the Government of Mali in collaboration with CIGEM.

Portes, L.S.V. 2009. "Remittances, Poverty and Inequality." *Journal of Economic Development*, Vol. 34, No. 1.

Preston, V., M. Siemiatycki, & A. Kobayashi. 2007. "Dual Citizenship among Hong Kong Canadians: Convenience or Commitment?" In T. Faist & P. Kivisto (eds.) *Dual Citizenship in Global Perspective, From Unitary to Multiple Citizenship*, Palgrave Macmillian, New York.

Quartey, P. 2006. "The Impact of Migrant Remittances on Household Welfare in Ghana." *AERC Research Paper*, No. 158, African Economic Research Consortium.

Quartey, P., & T. Blankson. 2004. "Do Migrant Remittances Minimize the impact of Macro-volatility on the Poor in Ghana?" Final report submitted to the Global Development Network (GDN).

Quirk, M. 2008. "How to Grow a Gang." *The Atlantic Monthly*, May.

Rajan, R., & A. Subramanian. 2005. "What Undermines Aid's Impact on Growth?" *IMF Working Paper Series*, WP05/126, IMF.

Ratha, D. 2003. "Workers' Remittances: An Important and Stable Source of External Development Finance." *Global Development Finance*, chapter 7, The World Bank, pp. 157-172.

———. 2007. "Leveraging Remittances for Development." *Policy Brief*, Migration Policy Institute, Washington DC.

———. 2009. "[Leveraging Remittances and Migration for Development](#)." Center for Global Development (CGD) and the Center for International Development at Harvard University research conference "Beyond the Fence: Research Lessons on How Immigration and Remittances Shape Global Development," Washington, DC. May 26, 2009

———. 2010. "Mobilize the Diaspora for the Reconstruction of Haiti." SSRC Feature: *Haiti: Now and Next* (<http://www.ssrc.org/features/pages/haiti-now-and-next/1338/1438/>)

Ratha, D., and J. Riedberg. 2005. "On Reducing Remittance Costs." Working Paper, Development Research Group, World Bank, Washington, DC.

Ratha, D., P. De, & S. Mohapatra. 2007. "Shadow Sovereign Ratings for Unrated Developing Countries." *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper Series*, No. 4269, The World Bank.

Ratha, D., S. Mohapatra, & A. Silwal. 2010. "Outlook for Remittance Flows 2010-11." *Migration and Development Brief*, No. 12, April, World Bank.

Ratha, D., S. Mohapatra, & S. Plaza. 2008. "Beyond aid: New sources and innovative mechanisms for financing development in Sub-Saharan Africa." *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper Series*, No. 4609, The World Bank.

Ratha, D., & W. Shaw. 2007. "South-South Migration and Remittances." *World Bank Working Paper*, No. 102, The World Bank.

- Ratha, D., & Z. Xu. 2008. *Migration and Remittances Factbook 2008*. The World Bank.
- Ratha, D., & S. Mohapatra. 2007. "Increasing the Macroeconomic Impact of Remittances on Development." Development Prospects Group at the World Bank, note prepared for the G8 outreach Event on Remittances, Berlin, November 28-30.
- Ruiz, N. 2008. "Managing Migration: Lessons from the Philippines." *Migration and Development Brief*, No. 6, Migration and Remittances Team, World Bank.
- Shehu, A. Y. 2004. "The Asian Alternative Remittance Systems and Money Laundering." *Journal of Money Laundering Control*, Vol. 7, Issue 2, pp. 175-185.
- Singh, R.J., M. Haacker, & K.W. Lee. 2009. "Determinants and Macroeconomic Impact of Remittances in Sub-Saharan Africa." *IMF Working Paper* WP/09/216, IMF.
- Singley, S., & N. Landale. 1998. "Incorporating Origin and Process in Migration-Fertility Frameworks: The Case of Puerto Rican Women." *Social Forces*, Vol. 76, No. 4, pp. 1437-1464.
- Skeldon, R. 2002. "Migration and Poverty." *Asia-Pacific Population Journal*, Vol. 17, No. 4, pp. 67-82.
- Smith, D. 2007. "Migrants Ease the Inflation Pressure." *The Sunday Times*, October 28. <http://www.economicsuk.com/blog/000585.html>
- Sorensen, N. 2004. "Migrant Remittances as a Development Tool: The Case of Morocco." *Migration Policy Research Working Paper Series*, No. 2, International Organization for Migration (IOM).
- Spatafora, N. 2005. "Two Current Issues Facing Developing Countries." Chapter in *World Economic Outlook*, International Monetary Fund (IMF).
- Stark, O. 2004. "Rethinking the Brain Drain." *World Development*, Vol. 32, No. 1, pp. 15-22.
- Stark, O., & J.E. Taylor. 1989. "Relative Deprivation and International Migration." *Demography*, Vol. 26, Issue 1, pp. 1-14.
- Taylor, J.E. 1992. "Remittances and Inequality Reconsidered: Direct, indirect, and Intertemporal Effects." *Journal of Policy Modeling*, No. 14, Vol. 2, pp. 187-208.
- Taylor, J.E., & T. J. Wyatt. 1996. "The Shadow Value of Migrant Remittances, income, and Inequality in a Household-Farm Economy." *Journal of Development Studies*, Vol. 32, No.6, pp. 899-912.

Taylor, J.E., J. Mora, R. Adams, & A. Lopez-Feldman. 2005. "Remittances, Inequality and Poverty: Evidence from Rural Mexico." Working Paper no. 05-003, Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics, University of California, Davis.

Toxopeus, H., & R. Lensink. 2007. "Remittances and Financial Inclusion in Development." *UNU-WIDER Research Paper*, Vol. 2007/49, Helsinki.

UNAIDS (Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS). 2008. *Report on the global AIDS Epidemic*. Geneva.

UNDP (United Nations Development Program). 2009. *Overcoming Barriers: Human Mobility and Development*. United Nations Development Program, New York.

United Nations. 2009. "International Migrant Stock: The 2008 Revision." United Nations Population Division (<http://esa.un.org>)

Valero-Gil, J. 2008. "Remittances and the Household's Expenditure on Health." *MPRA Paper*, No. 9572, University Library of Munich, Germany.

Van der Mensbrugghe, D., & D. Roland-Holst. 2009. "Global Economic Prospects for Increasing Developing Country Migration into Developed Countries." *Human Development Research Paper*, No. 50, United Nations Development Program (UNDP), New York.

Wahba, J. 2007. "Returns to Overseas Work Experience: The Case of Egypt." In C. Ozden & M. Schiff (eds), *International Migration, Economic Development and Policy*, World Bank and Palgrave Macmillian, Washington DC.

Woodruff, C., & B. Zenteno. 2001. "Remittances and Micro Enterprise in Mexico." UCSD Graduate School of International Relations and Pacific Studies Working Paper, University of California San Diego.

World Bank. 2006a. *Resilience Amidst Conflict, an assessment of poverty in Nepal, 1995-96 and 2003-04*. World Bank, Washington DC.

———. 2006b. *Global Economic Prospects 2006: Economic Implications of Remittances and Migration*. World Bank, Washington DC.

———. 2009. *World Development Report 2009: Reshaping Economic Geography*. World Bank, Washington DC.

———. 2010a. "Inclusive Growth Analysis for a Remittance-Dependent Country: The Case of Tajikistan." Working Paper, World Bank, Washington DC. (forthcoming)

———. 2010b. *Labor Migration from North Africa: Development Impact, Challenges, and Policy Options*. World Bank, Washington DC. (forthcoming)

Yang, D. 2006. "Coping with Disaster: The Impact of Hurricanes on International Financial Flows, 1970-2001." *NBER Working Paper Series*, No. 12794, National Bureau of Economic Research.

———. 2008. "International Migration, Human Capital, and Entrepreneurship: Evidence from Philippine Migrant's Exchange Rate Shocks." *The Economic Journal*, Royal Economic Society, Vol. 118(528), pp. 591-630.

Yang, D., & H. Choi. 2007. "Are Remittances Insurance? Evidence from Rainfall Shocks in the Philippines." *The World Bank Economic Review*, Vol. 21, No. 2, pp. 219-248.

Winters, L.A., T. Walmsley, Z.K. Wang, & R. Grynberg. 2003. "Liberalizing Temporary Movement of Natural Persons: An Agenda for the Development Round." *The World Economy* 26(8): 1137-61, August.